11											
-											
1	VICKI J. AZNARAN RICHARD N. AZNARAN										
2	IN PRO PER	1 -(* -									
3	5521 Greenville Avenue Dallas, Texas 75206										
4	(214) 823-4037										
5	By BARRY VAN SICKLE Attorney of Record and 07 12 4	30.74.10									
6	Specially Appearing for the Purpose of Answering Counterclaim A / /										
7	1600 Wilshite - Grevard, Suit- 300 Millshite - Grevard, Suit-										
В	(213) 413-3600										
		42:00:00 i									
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT										
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA										
11											
12	VICKI J. AZNARAN and RICHARD N. AZNARAN,	) Case No. CV-88-1786-JMI(Ex)									
13		) ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM									
14	Plaintiffs,	) OF RELIGIOUS TECHNOTORY ) CENTER									
15	vs.	) )									
16	CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, INC., et al.,	)									
17	Defendants.	)									
18	RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER,	)									
19	Counterclaimants,	)									
20	vs.	) )									
21	VICKI J. AZNARAN and RICHARD N. AZNARAN,	)									
22	Counterdefendants.	)									
23	Counterdelendants.	)									
24		)									
25	Plaintiffs and Counterdes	endants RICHARD N. AZNARAN and									
26	VICKI J. AZNARAN (hereinafter "th	e AZNARANS"), answer Religious									
27	Technology Center's Counterclaim in	this action as follows:									
28											

- 1. The AZNARANS are informed and believe and on that basis admit that Counterclaimant Religious Technology Center ("RTC") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California with its principal office in the County of Los Angeles. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 1.
- 2. The AZNARANS admit the truth of the averments in paragraph 2.
- 3. The AZNARANS deny that the amount in controversy with respect to the purported counterclaim exceeds \$10,000.00.
- 4. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 4.
- 5. The AZNARANS admit the averments of paragraph 5 insofar as Vicki Aznaran served as President of RTC during the period between in or about 1983 until in or about April, 1987. The AZNARANS admit that, as President of RTC, Vicki Aznaran participated in the management of RTC's corporate and legal affairs. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 5.
- 6. The AZNARANS admit that Richard Aznaran was affiliated with the Scientology organization for approximately 15 years. The AZNARANS admit that, during this time, Richard Aznaran held various staff positions with the Scientology organization. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 6.
- 7. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 7.
- 8. The AZNARANS admit that on or about April 9, 1987, Vicki Aznaran attempted to escape from the physical control of RTC and others. The AZNARANS admit that she escaped from the physical

9 10

8

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

191 201

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

The AZNARANS admit that on or about April 9, 1987, Vicki Aznaran and Richard Aznaran were restrained in a hotel room by agents for RTC and others, and were not permitted to leave until they had signed numerous purported "sworn statements" for and "agreements" with RTC and others. The AZNARANS admit that they desired to terminate their affiliation with the Scientology organization at this time and that they sought to avoid being declared "fair game" by the Scientology organization. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 9.

- 10. The AZNARANS admit that their horse was purchased from them by defendant Church of Scientology International for approximately \$1,500.00. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 10.
- The AZNARANS admit that at or around April 9, 1987 they signed numerous purported "sworn statements" for and "agreements" with RTC and others. The AZNARANS lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averments in paragraph 11, and on that ground deny those averments.
- 12. The AZNARANS admit that in or about April 9, 1987, Vicki Aznaran signed numerous purported "sworn statements" for and "agreements" with RTC and others. The AZNARANS admit that they signed these purported "sworn statements" and "agreements" in order to terminate their affiliation with RTC and others without being declared "fair game". The AZNARANS also admit that Vicks Aznaran had been previously advised by Scientology counsel John

Peterson that documents identical or similar to these purported "sworn statements" and "agreements" were invalid and unenforceable. The AZNARANS lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averment and, on that ground, deny those averments.

- 13. The AZNARANS admit that in or about April 9, 1987, Richard Aznaran signed numerous purported "sworn statements" for and "agreements" with RTC and others. The AZNARANS admit that they signed these purported "sworn statements" and "agreements" in order to terminate their affiliation with RTC and others without being declared "fair game". The AZNARANS also admit that Vichi Aznaran had been previously advised by Scientology counsel John Peterson that documents identical to these purported "sworn statements" and "agreements" were invalid and unenforceable. The AZNARANS lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belimf as to the truth of the remainder of the averments and, on that ground, deny those averments.
- 14. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 14.
- 15. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 15.
- 16. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 16.
- 17. The AZNARANS admit that in or about April 1987, the AZNARANS departed California and went to Dallas, Texas. The AZNARANS admit that attorneys retained by RTC and others provided Richard Aznaran with statements concerning investigative work performed by him under the supervision of said attorneys.



AZNARANS admit that said statements were used by Richard Aznaran in his application to the State of Texas for a private investigator license. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 17.

- 18. The AZNARANS, refer to their response to the allegations of paragraph 1 through 17, inclusive, of the counterclaim and incorporate the same herein by said reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 19. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 19.
- 20. The AZNARANS admit that they filed the instant lawsuit against RTC and other defendants. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 20.
- 21. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 21.
- 22. The AZNARANS refer to their responses to the allegations of paragraphs 1 to 17 and 19 to 21, inclusive, and incorporates the same herein by said reference as though fully set forth at length.
- 23. The AZNARANS admit that they have met with certain individuals and discussed their experiences with RTC and other Scientology entities with these individuals. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 23.
- 24. The AZNARANS admit that they have discussed certain of their experiences in the Scientology organization with Joseph A. Yanny, Jerold Fagelbaum, Welkos, Sappell, Bent Corydon, and agents of the Internal Revenue Service. The AZNARANS lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of

the remaining averments contained in pa graph 24 and on that basis deny each of those averments.

- 25. The AZNARANS deny each and every averment of paragraph 25.
- 26. The AZNARANS admit that they were interviewed by Los Angeles Times reporters Welkos and Sappell about their experiences with RTC and the Scientology organization. The AZNARANS admit that the session was tape recorded by them and by the reporters. The AZNARANS also admit that the interview lasted over 10 hours. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 26.
- 27. The AZNARANS admit that in 1988, the Aznarans met with Corydon and discussed certain of their experiences with ETC and the Scientology organization with him. The AZNARANS lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in paragraph 27 and, on that ground, deny each of these averments.
- Jerold Fagelbaum, and discussed certain of their experiences with RTC and the Scientology organization with him. The AZNARANS lack knowledge or information sufficient as to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder of the averments and, on that ground, denies those averments.
- 29. The AZNARANS admit that they were subpoensed by agents of the IRS, and were interviewed concerning certain of their experiences with RTC and the Scientology organization. The AZNARANS deny the remainder of the averments in paragraph 29.



paragraph 39.

27

1	40.	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
2	paragraph 40	o.							
3	41.	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
4	paragraph 4:	1.							
5	42	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
6	paragraph 43	2.							
7	43	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	cf
В	paragraph 4:	3.							
9	44	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
10	paragraph 4	4.							
11	45	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
12	paragraph 4	5.							
13	46	. The	AZNARANS,	refe	r to	thei	r resp	onse to	the
14	allegations	of para	graph 1 to	17, 1	9 to 2	1, 23	to 31,	33 to 37	and
15	39 to 45,	inclusi	ve, and i	incorpo	rate	the s	same he	rein by	zaid,
16	reference as	s though	fully set	forth	at le	ngth.			
17			AZNARANS						
18									
19	tion concer						e AZNAR	ANS deny	the
20	remainder o				•				
21	48	. The	AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
22	paragraph 4								
23	49		AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
24									
25	50		AZNARANS	deny	each	and	every	averment	of
26	paragraph 5	0.					•		
27									
28	U								

### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

51. The Counterclaim and each and every cause of action stated therein fails to state any claim upon which relief may be granted.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

52. RTC's claims as stated in each and every cause of action are barred by the equitable Doctrine of Laches, in that RTC has delayed an unreasonable time in seeking relief.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

AZNARANS chose to seek legal redress for the wrongs they have suffered.

54. As a consequence thereof, RTC's claim for any equitable relief demanded herein is barred by the Doctrine of Unclean hands.

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

55. RTC's claims in this action are barred by applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to those found in California Code of Civil, §§338 and 339.



# FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

	56.	The v	arious	purported	"agreen	ments" a	and "sworn
statemen	ts" sig	ned on	or abou	et April 9	1987 by	the AZN	ARANS on or
were exe	cuted i	n orde	r to esc	cape from	the cont	rol of th	he Sciento-
logy org	anizati	ion. S	aid doc	uments wer	e also e	xecuted :	in order to
avoid ph	ysical	emot	ional an	d economic	injury	under th	ne Sciento-
logy_or	ganizat	ions'	"fair o	game" doct	rine. 1	his poli	cy directs
that any	indivi	dual c	r employ	yee who exp	oresses a	lack of	loyalty to
the Sci	entolog	y orga	nization	n is open	to any	form of	harassment,
economic	ruin,	or su	bject t	o any cove	ert plan	designe	d to cause
emotiona	l or ph	vsical	harm.	and/or fin	ancial ru	in.	

57. As a consequence thereof, the various documents signed by the AZNARANS on or about April 9, 1987 are invalid and unenforceable under the doctrines of fraud, duress or mistake. All claims founded in purported reliance on these documents are void or voidable.

# SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

58. RTC has waived all right, if any it ever had, to any and all recovery sought by the Counterclaim.

# SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

59. RTC, after discovery of all the facts which allegedly constituted the AZNARANS wrongful activity, made ratification thereof by its conduct.

# EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

60. Any injuries allegedly suffered by RTC herein were proximately caused, or contributed to, by the negligence, other wrongful conduct, or omission of RTC and other persons or entities, whether or not currently named as parties in the within.



action and that said actionable conduct or mission reduces RTC's right of recovery against the AZNARANS under the doctrine of comparative negligence.

### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- 61. The AZNARANS replead the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 to 85 of their Complaint.
- 62. The AZNARANS are informed and believe and thereon allege that any duties owed to RTC were subservient to the duties the AZNARANS owed to themselves, third parties and society.

# TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- 63. The various purported "agreements" and "sworn statements" signed on or about April 9, 1987 by the AZNARANS were executed with the knowledge that John Peterson, former counsel to the Scientology organization, had previously advised Vicki Aznaran that similar or identical agreements were void or otherwise unenforceable.
- 64. As a consequence, thereof, RTC is estopped from asserting all claims founded on these purported documents. Further, any such releases are unenforceable on grounds of fraud, duress or mistake.

WHEREFORE, the AZNARANS and each of them, pray as follows:

- That RTC take nothing by its Counterclaim;
- 2. For the AZNARANS costs of suit incurred herein; and



1										
1	3.	r such	other	and	further	relief	as	may	be	just
2	and proper under	the circ	cumstar	nces.						
3	DATED: October 1	2, 1988								
4					Respect f	AZNARA	N	ted,		
5					RICHARD IN PRO F		RAN			
6										
7					CUMMINS BARRY	& WHITE VAN SIC				
8							,			
9					Ву:	any la	11			
10					Atto	ARRY VA	f Re	cord	an	3
11					Purpo	illy Appose of A	nswe	ring	the	the B
12						Counte	rcla	12 m		
13										
14										
15										
16										
17								•		
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23					÷					
24										
25										
26										
27										
28									_	
										- 1

#### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, Catalina Jauregui, declare:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1600 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 300, Los Angeles, California 90017-1695.

On October 12, 1988, I deposited a document entitled ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM OF RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER in a United States Mail bag. I am familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business. The document was addressed to concerned parties in this action and a true copy thereof was enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, addressed as follows:

See attached service list.

The envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on the date set forth above following ordinary business practices.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on October 12, 1988, at Los Angeles, California.

Catalina Jauregui

(13)

# AZNARAN V. SCIENTOLO

#### Service List

Howard L. Weitzman, P.C. William T. Drescher Wyman, Bautzer, Kuchel & Silbert Two Century Plaza, 14th Floor 2049 Century Park East Los Angeles, California 90067

Earle C. Cooley Cooley, Manion, Moore & Jones, P.C. 530 Atlantic Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Kendrick L. Moxon Bowles & Moxon 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 2000 Hollywood, California 90028

Eric Lieberman
Rabinowitz, Boudin, Standard,
Krinsky & Lieberman, P.C.
740 Broadway at Astor Place
New York, New York 10003

Michael L. Hertzberg 275 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10016

Lawrence E. Heller Lenske, Lenske & Heller 6400 Canoga Avenue, Suite 315 Woodland Hills, California 91367